

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

### Claim Amendments

Applicant has amended claims 1, and 3 through 11, and added new claim 12. Support for new claim 12 is found in original claim 5, where the range claimed in new claim 12, and support for amendments to claims 1, and 3 through 11 is found in the original claims, each amendment being made to provide antecedent basis for elements of the claim.

### Objection to Drawings

The examiner objected to figs. 4 and 5 as not being of sufficient quality. A replacement drawing sheet is enclosed. This objection is therefore respectfully traversed.

### Claim Objections

Claim 2 was objected to as not further limiting the subject matter of the previous claim. Claim 2 is canceled, so this objection is therefore respectfully traversed.

### Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-11 stood as rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 based on lack of antecedent basis for various elements in the claims. The claims are amended above to include this antecedent basis. This rejection is therefore respectfully traversed.

Conclusion

Applicant has addressed each and every objection and ground for rejection. The amended claims are patentable over the cited art and Applicant requests that the application be allowed. In the event the Examiner has any questions or there are any issues with respect to the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number below prior to the issuance of any written action.

Respectfully submitted,  
Marco Betting et al.

P.O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463

By \_\_\_\_\_/Del S. Christensen/  
Patent Attorney, Del S. Christensen  
Registration No. 33,483  
(713) 241-3997